## **SECTION IA**

In the first five items, two men are debating about voting by eighteen-year-olds. Mr. Pinder is the speaker in the first three items, Mr. Wilstings in the last two. Each item presents a set of statements and a conclusion. In each item, the conclusion is underlined. Do not be concerned with whether or not the conclusions or statements are true.

Mark items *1* through 5 according to the following system:

If the conclusion **follows necessarily** from the statements given, mark **A**. If the conclusion **contradicts** the statements given, mark **B**. If the conclusion **neither** follows necessarily nor contradicts the statements given, mark **C**.

If a conclusion follows necessarily, a person who accepts the statements is unavoidably committed to accepting the conclusion. When two things are contradictory, they cannot both be correct.

## CONSIDER EACH ITEM INDEPENDENTLY OF THE OTHERS.

- 1. "Mr. Wilstings says that eighteen-year-olds haven't faced the problems of the world, and that anyone who hasn't faced these problems should not be able to vote. What he says is correct, but <u>eighteen-year-olds still should be able to vote</u>. They're mature human beings, aren't they?"
- 2. "Furthermore, <u>eighteen-year-olds should be allowed to vote</u> because anyone who will suffer or gain from a decision made by the voters ought to be permitted to vote. It is clear that eighteen-year-olds will suffer or gain from the decisions of the voters."
- 3. "Many eighteen-year-olds are serving their country. Now there can be no doubt that many people serving their country ought to be allowed the vote. From this you can see that <u>many eighteen-year-olds ought to be allowed to vote.</u>"
- 4. "I agree with Mr. Pinder that anyone who will suffer or gain from a decision made by the voters ought to be permitted to vote. And it is true that eighteen-year-olds will suffer or gain from these decisions. But so will ten-year-olds. Therefore, <u>eighteen-year-olds shouldn't be allowed to vote.</u>"
- 5. "Most eighteen-year-olds don't know the difference between right and wrong. The right to vote should not be possessed by the members of a group if most of them don't know this difference. It is obvious then that <u>eighteen-year-olds shouldn't have the</u> <u>right to vote</u>."